

Proving the validity of the Riemann hypothesis using the modulus

ABDELRAHMAN SADEK SADEK BADR SABER

*Dammietta University of Education and
science Mathematics department Egypt,
Dammietta, Farskour, Gohanamya 34614
Published on: 6 September 2024*



This work is licensed under a
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0
International License.

ABSTRACT

In this article, it is proved that the non-trivial zeros of Riemann zeta function must lie on the critical line, known as the Riemann hypothesis.

Keywords Riemann zeta function. Riemann hypothesis, Non-trivial zeros, Critical line, Modulus.

* Introduction

1- Riemann zeta function: Riemann zeta function is defined over the complex plane (Riemann [1859])

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \quad (1)$$

Where $\text{Re}(s)$ denotes the real part of s . There are several forms can be used for an analytic continuation for any s in \mathbb{C} , such as (Riemann [1859]),

$$\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-\frac{-(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)$$

(2)

2- Zeros of the Riemann zeta function: The trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function occur at the negative even integers; that is, $\zeta(-2n) = 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (Riemann [1859])

On the other hand. the non-trivial zeros lie in the critical strip, $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$, and are known to be symmetric about the x-axis and the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$; that is $\zeta(s) = \zeta(1-s) = \zeta(\bar{s}) = \zeta(1-\bar{s}) = 0$ (Riemann [1859], Borwein et al. [2007])

3- Riemann hypothesis: All the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie on the critical line $(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

*** Proof**

1- Modulus and conjugate of a complex variable

Points to remember: -

$$\overline{\zeta(s)} = \zeta(\bar{s})$$

If $\zeta(a + ib) = x + iy$ then $\zeta(a - ib) = x - iy$, since $|x + iy| = |x - iy|$, then $|\zeta(a + ib)| = |\zeta(a - ib)|$, also $|a + ib| = |a - ib|$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$), ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}$)

From which we get that: -

$$|\zeta(a + ib)| = |\zeta(a - ib)| \text{ iff } |a + ib| = |a - ib| \quad (3)$$

$$|\zeta(s)| = |\zeta(\bar{s})| \Leftrightarrow |s| = |\bar{s}| \quad (4)$$

Using equation (2), we can write,

$$\zeta(s) = \pi^{\frac{2s-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \zeta(1-s) \quad (5)$$

which is also we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(1-s) \\ &= \pi^{\frac{1-2s}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})} \zeta(s) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that from equations (5), (6), we conclude that when $\zeta(s) = 0$ to be $\zeta(1-s) = 0$, and vice versa, that is: -

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \zeta(1-s) = 0$$

From which we get that $\zeta(s) = \zeta(1-s) = 0$, meaning that: -

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(a + ib) &= \zeta(1 - a - ib) = 0 \\ \zeta(a + ib) &= \zeta((1 - a) - ib) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (V)$$

From the modulus properties were applied, using the relationship (4), therefore equation (V) becomes,

$$|\zeta(s)| = |\zeta(1-s)| \xRightarrow{ssss} |(s)| = |(1-s)|$$

And by squaring all sides, we get ,

$$\begin{aligned} (|(s)|)^2 &= (|(1-s)|)^2 \\ (|(a + ib)|)^2 &= (|(1-a) - ib|)^2 \\ (a)^2 + (b)^2 &= (1-a)^2 + (-b)^2 \\ a^2 + b^2 &= 1 - 2a + a^2 + b^2 \\ 1 - 2a &= 0 \\ 2a &= 1 \\ a &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

That is, a non-trivial zero must lie on the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

*** Another proof**

Since the non-trivial zeros lie in the critical strip, $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$, and are known to be symmetric about the x-axis and the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$; that is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \zeta(1-s) = \zeta(\bar{s}) = \zeta(1-\bar{s}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(Riemann [1859], Borwein et al. [2007])

From which we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta(s)| &= |\zeta(1-s)| = |\zeta(\bar{s})| = \\ |\zeta(1-\bar{s})| &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\wedge)$$

From the symmetric property of the non-trivial zeros of the zeta function, the symmetric of zeta function about the x-axis, where the modulus properties were applied, using the formula (4), therefore equation (A) becomes,

$$|(s)| = |(1-s)| = |(\bar{s})| = |(1-\bar{s})| \quad (\eta)$$

And by squaring all sides, we get,

$$(|(s)|)^2 = (|(1-s)|)^2 = (|(\bar{s})|)^2 \\ = (|(1-\bar{s})|)^2$$

Or equivalently,

$$(|(s)|)^2 + (|(1-s)|)^2 + (|(\bar{s})|)^2 \\ + (|(1-\bar{s})|)^2 \\ = 4(|(s)|)^2$$

Substituting $s = a + ib$ where $(a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$

$$(|(a+ib)|)^2 + (|(1-a-ib)|)^2 \\ + (|(a-ib)|)^2 \\ + (|(1-a+ib)|)^2 \\ = 4(|(a+ib)|)^2$$

$$(|(a+ib)|)^2 + (|(1-a-ib)|)^2 \\ + (|(a-ib)|)^2 \\ + (|(1-a+ib)|)^2 \\ = 4(|(a+ib)|)^2$$

$$(a)^2 + (b)^2 + (1-a)^2 + (-b)^2 \\ + (a)^2 + (-b)^2 \\ + (1-a)^2 + (b)^2 \\ = 4((a)^2 + (b)^2)$$

$$a^2 + b^2 + 1 - 2a + a^2 + b^2 + a^2 \\ + b^2 + 1 - 2a + a^2 \\ + b^2 = 4a^2 + 4b^2$$

$$4a^2 + 4b^2 - 4a + 2 = 4a^2 + 4b^2 \\ -4a + 2 = 0$$

$$4a = 2$$

$$a = \frac{2}{4}$$

$$a = \frac{1}{2}$$

That is, a non-trivial zero must lie on the critical line $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

* References

Riemann, B. (1859). Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse. Ges. Math. Werke und

Wissenschaftlicher

Nachlaß, 2(145-155), 2.

Borwein, P., Choi, S., Rooney, B., & Weirathmueller, A. (Eds.). (2007). The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike. Springer Science & Business Media.